DEVELOPMENT OF A GUIDELINE FOR READY TO USE THERAPEUTIC FOODS (RUTF)

(Chaired by South Africa and co-chaired by Senegal and Uganda)

Second Consultation Paper May 2016

Please respond by 24th June 2016

To: tshitg@health.gov.za, naickn@health.gov.za, iwanyenya@nda.or.ug, and moussa.ndong@ugb.edu.sn

Name of Member Country/Organisation: International Dairy Federation

1. PURPOSE

PURPOSE

1.1 Please provide comments and justification for your answers to the proposed text.
2. SCOPE
SCOPE
2.1 Do you agree with revised text?
Yes No
2.2 Please suggest the wording and justification for your proposals.
2.3 Do you agree with the proposed list of products to be excluded from the scope of the guidelines?
Yes No
2.4 Please provide comments and justification for your answers.
3. DESCRIPTION

DESCRIPTION
3.1 Please provide comments on the suggested wording for the "Description".
3.2 Are there any additional terms that should be defined? Yes No No
Please suggest the terms and the provide justification for your proposals.
3.3 Do you support the replacement of the word "treatment" by "dietary management" in order to align with the existing Codex text (i.e. CODEX STAN 180-1991)? Yes □ No □
4. BASIC RAW MATERIALS AND INGREDIENTS
BASIC RAW MATERIALS AND INGREDIENTS
4.1 Please provide comments to the proposed wording on RUTF. Please provide justification and rationale for your comments.
4.2 Do you agree with the proposed outline on basic raw materials and ingredients?
Yes No No
4.3 If No, provide justification for your answer.
4.4 Are there still other raw materials and ingredients that have not been covered in the proposed section on "Basic Raw Materials and Ingredients"?
Yes No
Please provide the rationale and justification for your proposals.
4.5 Do you agree that the ingredients should be listed in descending order of proportion?
Yes No No
4.6 Do you agree that the appropriate class names and specific names be declared for all ingredients?
Yes No
4.7 Please provide the rationale and justification for your answer.
4.8 Do you agree that the proposed statement be included under this section?
Yes No

5. NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION AND QUALITY FACTORS

4.9 Please provide comments on the wording and the proposed text.

NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION
Vitamins and Minerals
5.1 Do you support the setting of minimum and maximum levels for vitamins and minerals for the RUTF products?
Yes No Solution No Solution No Solution No Solution Solution Solution No Solution Solution Solution No Solution Solution
Essential Fatty acids (omega-3 and omega-6)
5.3 Do you support the revision and setting of minimum levels for essential fatty acids in RUTF?5.4 Are there any proposals you want to make with regard to the minimum levels? Please provide the rationale and the scientific evidence for your proposals.
Additional Nutrients
5.5 Do you support the addition of other nutrients such as manganese in the nutritional composition for RUTF?5.6 Please indicate the nutrients to be added and provide scientific justification for your proposals.
Measuring Protein Quality
5.7 Should this statement "50% of protein sources from milk products" be removed or amended? It is well known that adequate protein quality is essential for treatment of severe acute malnutrition, even more so when food is scarce and infection rates are high. High quality proteins such as milk protein have benefits in terms of weight gain, linear growth, and recovery of muscle mass. Therefore we support to keep the statement as mentioned. For practical reasons we would recommend that the wording "at least" would be added in order for the statement to be read as "at least 50% of

5.9 Should other methods be considered if the PDCAAS digestibility of a protein could not be determined due to other technical reasons? For example, biological assays or calculated from published data on essential amino acid patterns of dietary proteins and their digestibility.

IDF supports the recognition of the importance of protein quality for these foods as it is both protein content and quality that will determine the response to nutritional intervention. However we feel that taking into consideration the vulnerable populations these foods are prepared for the latest science should be used to calculate protein quality. It is noted that the FAO Expert Consultation "Dietary protein quality evaluation in human nutrition" recommended a new, advanced method for assessing the quality of dietary proteins (FAO 2013). The Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score (DIAAS) replaces the Protein Digestibility Corrected Amino Acid Score (PDCAAS). In 2014 the FAO

Working Group's (FAO 2014) recommended the adoption of the DIAAS method by Codex. It is also recognised that there is further work to be completed to ensure a supporting framework to enable full implementation of the DIAAS method. Global coordinating efforts to advance method validation and build a large database to enable wide use and application of DIAAS are currently underway. As DIAAS is the methodology advised by FAO expert group we would support only the mention of this methodology in the guidance. A database of protein ingredient amino acid composition and digestibility will facilitate calculation of DIAAS for mixtures and give a more accurate protein quality score.
FAO (2013). Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. <i>Dietary protein quality evaluation in human nutrition</i> . Report of the Expert Protein Consultation. Rome, 2013.
FAO (2014) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <i>Research approaches and methods for evaluating the protein quality of human foods</i> . Report of a FAO Expert Working Group, 2-5 March 2014, Bangalore, India. Rome 2014.
Pre and pro-biotic
5.10 Should pre- and pro-biotic be considered as optional ingredients in RUTF? Please provide the rationale and justification for your answer.
6. CONTAMINANTS
6. CONTAMINANTS CONTAMINANTS
CONTAMINANTS 6.1 Do you agree with the proposed wording and sub-sections?
CONTAMINANTS 6.1 Do you agree with the proposed wording and sub-sections? Yes No
CONTAMINANTS 6.1 Do you agree with the proposed wording and sub-sections? Yes No 7. TECHNOLOGIES FOR AND EFFECT FOR PROCESSING
CONTAMINANTS 6.1 Do you agree with the proposed wording and sub-sections? Yes No TECHNOLOGIES FOR AND EFFECT FOR PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES FOR AND EFFECT FOR PROCESSING 7.1 Do you agree with the revised section and proposed sub-sections?
CONTAMINANTS 6.1 Do you agree with the proposed wording and sub-sections? Yes

9. HYGIENE 9.1 Are there any other issues that should be considered under this section? 10. PACKAGING PACKAGING
9.1 Are there any other issues that should be considered under this section? 10. PACKAGING
10. PACKAGING
PACKAGING
10.1 Do you agree that RUTF should be packaged into single-use sachets to minimize the risk of contamination at home?
Yes No
10.2 What should be the volume ranges of <u>single-use</u> sachets?
10.3 What should be the nutritional content ranges (e.g. macronutrients) of a <u>single-use</u> sachet?
11. LABELLING
LABELLING
11.1 Do you have additional comments on the proposed wording for this section?
Mandatory Statements for Labelling Purposes
11.2 Do you agree with the wording and the proposed mandatory statements?
Yes No
Please provide comments on the above suggested wording.
11.3 Are there any other additional statements that should be considered under this section? Please provide the rationale and justification for their inclusion.